



ADMINISTRATIVE DOCUMENT

ENEC 327

List of current decisions

Approved by:
Date of issue:
Supersedes:

Secretary-General
May 2015
OD ENEC 327 – November 2014

No. of pages: 8
Page 1 of 8

This document lists all decisions of the LUM, ENEC and ECS meetings which have a permanent validity for the operation of the ENEC Scheme and are not (yet) laid down in another PD or OD. It is reviewed shortly after each meeting.

LUM, ENEC and ECS meetings from which decisions are extracted			
Meeting Nr.	Date	Venue	Decisions numbering
LUM 3	1992-06-26	Brussels	agenda item
LUM 4	1992-09-25	Helsinki	„
LUM 5	1993-04-02	Milan	„
LUM 6	1993-10-05	Seville	„
LUM 7	1994-03-08	Thessaloniki	„
LUM 8	1994-09-27	Copenhagen	„
LUM 9	1995-03-22	Feralthorf	„
LUM 10	1995-10-11	Gatwick	„
LUM 11	1996-01-22	Brussels	„
LUM 12	1996-03-13	Budapest	„
ENEC 13	1996-11-06	Prague	„
ENEC 14	1997-04-16/17	Lattrop	„
ENEC 15	1997-09-23/24	Gressy	„
ENEC 16	1998-03-31	Ljubljana	„
ENEC 17	1998-09-08	Frankfurt	„
ENEC 18	1999-04-14	Costa da Caparica	S99/xx
ENEC 19	1999-09-22	Dun Laoghaire	A99/xx
ENEC 20	2000-04-05	Brussels	S00/xx
ENEC 21	2000-10-10	Warsaw	A00/xx
ENEC 22	2001-04-24	Istanbul	S01/xx
ENEC 23	2002-04-24	Bratislava	D23/xx
ENEC 24	2003-04-09	Vienna	D24/xx
ENEC 25	2004-04-21	Madrid	D25/xx
ENEC 26	2005-04-20	Stockholm	D26/xx
ENEC 27	2006-04-26	Cologne	D27/xx
ENEC 28	2007-04-18	Stresa	D28/xx
ENEC 29	2008-04-15	Espoo	D29/xx
ECS 01	2008-04-16/17	Espoo	D01/xx
ECS 02	2009-04-22/23	Sandvika	D02/xx
ECS 03	2010-04-13/14	Munich	D03/xx
ECS 04	2011-04-12/13	Zurich	D04/xx
ECS 05	2012-04-11/12	Copenhagen	D05/xx
ECS 06	2013-04-16/17	Nice	D06/xx

ECS 07	2014-04-08/09	Florence	D07/xx
ECS 08	2015-04-15/16	Seville	D08/xx

INDEX

A.	MEMBERSHIP, MEETINGS	5
B.	RULES AND PROCEDURES	5
C.	SCOPE	6
D.	TECHNICAL MATTERS.....	6
E.	FINANCIAL MATTERS	7
F.	MISCELLANEOUS	8

LIST OF CURRENT DECISIONS

A. MEMBERSHIP, MEETINGS

It was agreed that manufacturers and consumers will be invited to Working Groups when the subject is of special interest to them.

Item 5.5 (Zurich, 1995-03-22)
S01/27 (Istanbul, 2001-04-24)

The ECS decides to accept TSE as a signatory of the ENEC Scheme for the scope which TSE holds as signatory of the Keymark EMS01. For this membership, no acceptance fees will be requested from TSE. However, for any future extension of its scope in ENEC, the acceptance fees of the ENEC Scheme will become applicable in full to TSE.

D01/45 (Espoo, 2008-04-16/17)

B. RULES AND PROCEDURES

The meeting decided to accept ENEC marking on the packaging in cases where the dimensions of the product do not allow for placing the ENEC mark on the product itself.

Item 9 (Budapest, 1996-03-13)

The meeting confirmed that a signatory of the ENEC Agreement is authorised to issue the ENEC Mark only if its own National Deviations, Special National Conditions and/or special requirements listed in Annex B to ENEC 301 have been met, even if the relevant product is not intended for the issuing body's home market.

Item 3 (Brussels, 22-01-1996)

It was confirmed by the lawyers that the circled R next to the ENEC Mark is optional.

Item 4.1 (Brussels, 1996-01-22)

On the subject of the use of traditional certification marks that could be used with the ENEC Mark, the meeting decided that only the mark of the issuing CB can be used. In case of the use of other certification marks, the manufacturer has to apply to the relevant CB.

Item 8.1 (Brussels, 1996-01-22)

The ENEC-AC decides to rescind decision D24/30 (Vienna, 2003) and confirms that the ENEC Mark on a certified product may only be used in conjunction with the

identification number of the CB which issued the licence.

D26/31 (Stockholm, 2005-04-20)

C. SCOPE

Following the publication of the 2014 amendment of EN 62031, test reports based on IEC/TR 62778 can be accepted, if accompanied by the relevant test report, under the following conditions:

1. The test report shall be based on the harmonized TRF format;
2. Testing has been carried out by a laboratory having the relevant accreditation.

D08/16 (Seville, 2015-04-15/16))

The ECS, considering the proposal made in doc. ENEC-770, decides:

1. Part 1 of a standard is not suitable for ENEC certification unless accompanied by a Part 2 applying to the product to be certified.
2. Proposals to use a Part 1 standard for ENEC certification on the grounds that there is no existing Part 2 applying to the product to be certified, will be handled and decided by the ENEC Group on a case-by-case basis. In such case, it can be decided either to create an ad-hoc ENEC document or to use the provisions of CENELEC Guide 17 (Provisional Test Schedules). The PTS or ad-hoc ENEC document will be published on the website.
3. The Secretariat will complete Annex B to PD ENEC 301 with the appropriate note where necessary to bring it in line with item 1 of the present decision.

This decision will be entered into the List of Current Decisions.

D04/24 (Zurich, 2011-04-12/13)

D. TECHNICAL MATTERS

It is not allowed to use components in a luminaire that have a lower rating than the luminaire itself.

Item 4.7 (Zurich, 1995-03-22)

The Group agreed that from now on OSM LUM shall distribute the complete list of its decisions to LUMEX; LUMEX to decide which matter shall be passed on to the relevant committee of standardisation.

S00/27 (Brussels, 2000-04-05)

In case the applicant is not able to provide a declaration from the manufacturer of the LED to the effect that the LEDs used are classified as class I lasers, the CB concerned will submit to PAPG a Claim of Capability concerning the determination of

classification of LEDs.

D24/19B (Vienna, 2003-04-09),

Following a concern expressed by CELMA [doc. ENEC(Chairman)554], the ENEC-AC confirms that CBs may continue to grant ENEC certification to miscellaneous lampholders in accordance with the requirements of a FDIS during the interim period preceding the final adoption of a standard for the gauges concerned.

D25/20 (Madrid, 2004-04-21),

To (...) questions raised by the OSM-FIP the ECS gives the following replies:

- Is it correct that the requirements of PD CIG 023 ENEC Appendix shall be applied only to the QMS of the particular production line where the ENEC certified product is produced and all related processes to this?
 - ▶ The ECS confirms this.
- Is it correct that this QMS can be controlled either by the manufacturer or the licence holder (external process)?
 - ▶ The ECS states that the QMS should be controlled by the manufacturer and should incorporate the design requirements of the licence holder.

(...)

D01/62 (Espoo, 2008-04-16/17)

At the request of CELMA, the ENEC-AC confirms the interpretation of decision D25/20 as proposed in doc. ENEC(Chair)590:

CELMA proposals relating to ENEC certification on miscellaneous lampholders have been accepted.

CBs may grant ENEC certification for miscellaneous lampholders during the interim period where a gauge standard is in preparation within IEC but not yet finally adopted in the gauge standard. In this period certification shall be based on the lampholder safety standard EN 60838-1 testing with commercially available lamps or lamp caps as permitted in that standard in the absence of a gauge standard. It is, however, required to have IEC 60061-1 and IEC 60061-2 lamp cap and holder dimensional sheets both available at FDIS level minimum. After issue of the relevant EN 60061-3 gauge standard sheets the samples have to be retested.

Retests will be performed within one year of the doa.

D26/19 (Stockholm, 2005-04-20)

E. FINANCIAL MATTERS

It is stated that the costs for testing and certification of luminaires is a matter of fact of each CB. The increase of costs for ENEC certificates in respect to national certificates only is about 20-30%. It is noted that the manufacturers can make applications to each of the signatories. It has to be considered that certificates for the ENEC Agreement require considerable additional administrative actions and updating of data bases for all subject licenses.

F. MISCELLANEOUS

The ECS agrees on the principle that it is part of the obligations of the ENEC signatories to have the best possible mutual assistance between CBs in case of actions to protect the ENEC Mark against misuse. However, investigations of the ExeCom have shown that it is not feasible to lay down this obligation in a written agreement.

D02/27 (Sandvika, 2009-04-22/23)
